

One Earth Solar Farm

Volume 5.0: Reports and Statements [EN010159]

Potential Main Issues for the Examination

Document Reference EN010159/APP/5.7

February 2025

Revision 01

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 – 5(2)(q)

1.	Introduction	2
1.1	Purpose of this document_____	2
1.2	Potential Main Issues for Examination _____	2
2.	National Highways Potential Main Issues	4
3.	Environmental Agency Potential Main Issues	5
4.	Historic England Potential Main Issues	7
5.	Nottinghamshire County Council Potential Main Issues	12
6.	Lincolnshire County Council Potential Main Issues	17
7.	West Lindsey District Council Potential Main Issues	24
8.	Newark and Sherwood District Council Potential Main Issues	28
9.	Bassetlaw District Council Potential Main Issues	34

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This Potential Main Issues for Examination ('PMIE') document has been prepared by One Earth Solar Farm Ltd (the 'Applicant') to accompany an application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) ('DCO Application') for One Earth Solar Farm (the 'Proposed Development') made to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero pursuant to the Planning Act 2008.
- 1.1.2 This document has been prepared and submitted in compliance with the Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: 2024 Pre-application Prospectus (September 2024) and Regulation 5(2)(q) of the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 ('the APFP Regulations') which states: "*The application must be accompanied by... any other documents considered necessary to support the application*".
- 1.1.3 The purpose of this document is to provide the Examining Authority ('ExA') with a summary of the main residual issues with key stakeholders remaining at the time of the DCO Application submission. This document has been prepared alongside relevant statutory bodies and local authorities, and has been shared and the contents agreed, where possible, prior to the submission of the DCO Application. This document has been presented in the format provided by the Planning Inspectorate. The issues set out in the below tables do not constitute a definitive list of matters likely to be raised during the DCO Examination and does not preclude stakeholders from raising further concerns during the planning process.
- 1.1.4 It is anticipated that a number of the issues outlined in this document may be resolved between the submission of the DCO Application and Examination, including once stakeholders have had an opportunity to review the DCO Application and through the evolution of matters, including the negotiation of 'protective provisions' where necessary. It is the Applicant's intention to continue to work with stakeholders throughout the DCO Examination to resolve issues wherever possible.

1.2 Potential Main Issues for Examination

- 1.2.1 The tables below set out the remaining main issues with each of the relevant statutory bodies and local authorities that have not been resolved prior to submission of the DCO Application.
- 1.2.2 The tables provide an overview of the issues held by the statutory bodies and local authorities, where this issue is addressed in the DCO Application and the

Applicant's position on the likelihood of the issue being resolved prior to or during the Examination. The tables include a Red/Amber/Green (RAG) rating to highlight the likelihood of each issue being resolved. The following consideration has been applied to each colour:

- > Red – fundamental disagreements which are unlikely to be resolved during Examination.
- > Amber – issues which are in discussion and may be resolved during Examination.
- > Green – issues that are likely to be resolved prior to or during Examination.

1.2.3 At the submission stage, most consultees have provided a response to the issues raised which is reflected in the tables below and discussions are ongoing. Bassetlaw District Council are due to respond post-submission, but have been engaged through-out the pre-application stage of the process.

2. National Highways Potential Main Issues

Ref	Environmental Aspect	Description of issue	Affected stakeholder(s)	Signposting (to application evidence)	RAG	Likelihood of the issue being resolved during the Examination
NH1	Transport	Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) routing has been submitted to National Highways for comment and discussions undertaken regarding the use of waterways. In January 2025 National Highways responded with alternative AIL routing, which the Applicant has provided evidence demonstrating that these alternative options do not work.	National Highways	The AIL routing is considered within the ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Transport and Access [EN010159/APP/6.12] , the Planning Statement [EN010159/APP/5.5] the Transport Assessment and the outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (oCTMP).	Amber	High

3. Environmental Agency Potential Main Issues

Ref	Environmental Aspect	Description of issue	Affected stakeholder(s)	Signposting (to application evidence)	RAG	Likelihood of the issue being resolved during the Examination
EA1	Hydrology	The Environment Agency have confirmed that a Water Framework Directive (WFD) Screening Assessment is required and this has been undertaken. Consultation with the Environment Agency on the screening results has not been completed at the time of submission.	Environment Agency	WFD Stage 1 Screening Assessment has been appended to ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Hydrology [EN010159/APP/6.7] .	Amber	Medium

EA2	Biodiversity	<p>Outstanding survey data. There is a small amount of confirmatory ecological survey data that is to be delivered following submission of the application. This is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fish habitat survey at locations of proposed transmission cable crossings and clear span bridges. <p>The data gathered is not expected to alter the outcome of the assessments that are already built upon large amounts of in field survey time and desk study.</p>	Environment Agency	See ES Volume 2, Chapter 6: Biodiversity Section 6.9 [EN010159/APP/6.6]	Amber	High
-----	--------------	---	--------------------	--	-------	------

4. Historic England Potential Main Issues

Ref	Environmental Aspect	Description of issue	Affected stakeholder(s)	Signposting (to application evidence)	RAG	Likelihood of the issue being resolved during the Examination
HE1	Buried Heritage	Scope and quantum (percentage) of archaeological trial trenching evaluation carried out pre-examination not considered sufficient by the Affected Stakeholders to properly inform the DCO.	Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] , specifically Section 9.3, Section 9.5, Section 9.6 and Section 9.7, where the Applicant has set out in detail its approach to trial trenching evaluation and how the approach taken is robust and proportionate, and how appropriate mitigation is secured.	Red	Low
HE2	Buried Heritage	Impact (truncation and displacement) arising from the piling associated with	Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] , specifically Section 9.5.	Red	Low

		<p>photovoltaic (PV) mounting modules on known and unknown buried heritage assets.</p> <p>It is considered significant by the Affected Stakeholders and not significant by the Applicant.</p>	<p>Historic England</p> <p>West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)</p>	<p>Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7.</p>		
HE3	Buried Heritage	<p>Impact on known and unknown buried heritage assets arising during maintenance and decommissioning below ground works (such as removal of piling and underground cables). For the Applicant, no likely significant effects during operation and decommissioning are identified as it is</p>	<p>Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils</p> <p>Historic England</p> <p>West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire</p>	<p>ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9], specifically Section 9.5.</p> <p>Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7.</p>	Amber	Medium

		<p>expected that the decommissioning process would not cause any ground disturbance in excess of the construction phase, and any element associated with the Proposed Development will be removed using methods and extents similar to that of the construction phase.</p> <p>The Affected Stakeholders objected that as there is no information on the specific ground impacts of how infrastructure and the solar arrays will be removed or information regarding the impacts of refits throughout the 60-year lifetime of the scheme, there will be a significant impact.</p>	County Councils advice)			
--	--	--	-------------------------	--	--	--

HE4	Cultural Heritage	Impact on heritage assets in Fledborough and Ragnall	Historic England Bassetlaw Nottinghamshire County Council	Mitigation agreed with Affected Stakeholders (Historic England, Bassetlaw, Nottingham County Council) and integrated the illustrative landscape masterplan included in the outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (oLEMP) (see Volume 7: Other Documents [EN010159/APP/7.7]) ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Cultural Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.10] Consultation discussions (see Appendix 10.3 of ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Cultural Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.10])	Green	High
HE5	Cultural Heritage	Impact on heritage assets in Newtown on Trent, Thorney, Low Marnham and Church of St Oswald in Dunham (Grade I), due to need to consider approach to assessing setting and	Historic England	ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Cultural Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.10] Consultation discussions (see Appendix 10.3 of ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Cultural Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.10])	Green	High

		interconnectivity of churches				
HE6	Cultural Heritage	Mitigation for the location of the western substation within the wider setting of Gibraltar Farm (Non-Designated Heritage Asset)	Historic England	Mitigation integrated into the illustrative landscape masterplan included in the outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (oLEMP) (see Volume 7: Other Documents [EN010159/APP/7.7]) ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Cultural Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.10] and Appendix 10.2	Green	High
HE7	Cultural Heritage	Impact on setting of Roman Fort Vexillation Fortress (SM), including role of view out from Royal Observer Corps Monitoring Post	Historic England	ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Cultural Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.10] and Appendix 10.2, including provision of additional view	Amber	Medium
HE8	Cultural Heritage	Impact on setting of Whimpton Moor (SM) and request for further setback to south	Historic England	ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Cultural Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.10] Figures and Appendix 10.2 LVIA View 49	Amber	High

5. Nottinghamshire County Council Potential Main Issues

Ref	Environmental Aspect	Description of issue	Affected stakeholder(s)	Signposting (to application evidence)	RAG	Likelihood of the issue being resolved during the Examination
NCC1	Buried Heritage	Scope and quantum (percentage) of archaeological trial trenching evaluation carried out pre-examination not considered sufficient by the Affected Stakeholders to properly inform the DCO.	Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] , specifically Section 9.3, Section 9.5, Section 9.6 and Section 9.7.	Red	Low

NCC2	Buried Heritage	Impact (truncation and displacement) arising from the piling associated with photovoltaic (PV) mounting modules on known and unknown buried heritage assets. It is considered significant by the Affected Stakeholder and not significant by the Applicant.	Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] , specifically Section 9.5. Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]) , specifically Section 7.	Red	Low
NCC3	Buried Heritage	Impact on known and unknown buried heritage assets arising during maintenance and decommission below ground works (such as removal of piling and underground cables). No likely	Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council	ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] , specifically Section 9.5. Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix	Red	Medium

		<p>significant effects during operation and decommissioning are identified as it is not expected that the decommissioning process would not cause any ground disturbance in excess of the construction phase, and any element associated with the Proposed Development will be removed using methods and extents similar to that of the construction phase</p> <p>The Affected Stakeholder objected that as there is no information on the specific ground impacts of how infrastructure and the solar arrays will be removed or information</p>	(which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	<p>9.3: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7.</p>		
--	--	--	---	---	--	--

		regarding the impacts of refits throughout the 60 year lifetime of the scheme, there will be a significant impact.				
NCC4	Biodiversity	Biodiversity Net Gain assumptions. Technical engagement on this topic has been positive, although different stakeholders have different views from each other. Hence, further engagement will likely be required to reach an agreement acceptable to all parties	Lincolnshire County Council and Nottinghamshire County Council	<p>The Biodiversity Net Gain assessment is based on a range of assumptions in terms of habitats to be enhanced and created. The assumptions will be scrutinised following application by the Local Planning Authorities, Natural England and the Wildlife Trusts through review of the Biodiversity Net Gain assessment.</p> <p>It is expected that agreement on a reasonable set of assumptions will be reached with local planning authorities and other stakeholders.</p>	Green	High

				See ES Volume 2, Chapter 6, Section 6.9 [EN010159/APP/6.6] and Volume 3 Appendix 6-11		
--	--	--	--	---	--	--

6. Lincolnshire County Council Potential Main Issues

Ref	Environmental Aspect	Description of issue	Affected stakeholder(s)	Signposting (to application evidence)	RAG	Likelihood of the issue being resolved during the Examination
LCC1	Buried Heritage	Scope and quantum (percentage) of archaeological trial trenching evaluation carried out pre-examination not considered sufficient by the Affected Stakeholder to properly inform the DCO.	Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] , specifically Section 9.3, Section 9.5, Section 9.6 and Section 9.7.	Red	Low
LCC2	Buried Heritage	Impact (truncation and displacement)	Nottinghamshire County Council	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage	Red	Low

		<p>arising from the piling associated with photovoltaic (PV) mounting modules on known and unknown buried heritage assets.</p> <p>It is considered significant by the Affected Stakeholder and not significant by the Applicant.</p>	<p>and Lincolnshire County Council</p> <p>Historic England</p> <p>West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)</p>	<p>[EN010159/APP/6.9] specifically Section 9.5.</p> <p>Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7.</p>		
LCC3	Buried Heritage	Impact on known and unknown buried heritage assets arising during maintenance and decommission below ground works (such as removal of piling and underground cables). No likely significant effects	<p>Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils</p> <p>Historic England</p> <p>West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire</p>	<p>ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9], specifically Section 9.5.</p> <p>Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment</p>	Amber	Medium

		<p>during operation and decommissioning are identified as it is not expected that the decommissioning process would not cause any ground disturbance in excess of the construction phase, and any element associated with the Proposed Development will be removed using methods and extents similar to that of the construction phase.</p> <p>The Affected Stakeholder objected that as there is no information on the specific ground impacts of how infrastructure and the solar arrays will be removed or information regarding the impacts of refits</p>	and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	[EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7.		
--	--	---	--	---	--	--

		throughout the 60-year lifetime of the scheme, there will be a significant impact.				
LCC4	Landscape and Visual	<p>Additional viewpoints were requested by the Affected Stakeholder beyond 2km to justify the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) Study Area. In response, Icen provided photos from eight locations in four nearby settlements beyond 2km that would have theoretical visibility of the Proposed Development as per the ZTVs (Figures 11.3-11.6).</p> <p>AAH welcomed the additional views and commented that the</p>	AAH consultants, on behalf of Lincolnshire County Council and Newark & Sherwood District Council	With reference to ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [EN010159/APP/6.11] , further detail has been added to the LVIA methodology to explain how the LVIA Study Area has been defined and commentary on how views beyond 2km have been scoped-out has been provided in Appendix 11.5	Green	High

		<p>views presented were helpful but has asked <i>'to see locations with more careful selection, for example on the edge of the urban development rather than in the middle of villages/towns.'</i></p> <p>In the same response, AAH also commented that <i>'the screened ZTV does support the judgement that significant views will be unlikely beyond the 2km barrier.'</i></p>				
LCC5	Landscape and Visual	The Affected Stakeholder raised concerns about the potential scale and programming of solar panel replacements throughout the project lifespan and how this has been	AAH consultants, on behalf of Lincolnshire County Council and Newark & Sherwood District Council	ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [EN010159/APP/6.11] has considered the maintenance and replacement of above ground infrastructure in the Year 15 assessment scenario, and is based on details such as Indicative Design Life	Green	High

		considered in the LVIA.		provided in ES Volume 2, Chapter 5: Description of the Proposed Development [EN010159/APP/6.5]		
LCC6	Landscape and Visual	The Affected Stakeholder raised specific comments on the OLEMP to ensure effective and long-term management of mitigation planting throughout the project lifespan	AAH consultants, on behalf of Lincolnshire County Council and Newark & Sherwood District Council	The Outline Landscape and Environmental Management Plan [EN010159/APP/7.7] has sought to address the specific comments raised.	Green	High
LCC7	Biodiversity	Biodiversity Net Gain assumptions. Technical engagement on this topic has been positive, although different stakeholders have different views from each other. Hence, further engagement will likely be required to reach an agreement acceptable to all parties	Lincolnshire County Council and Nottinghamshire County Council	The Biodiversity Net Gain assessment is based on a range of assumptions in terms of habitats to be enhanced and created. The assumptions will be scrutinised following application by the Local Planning Authorities, Natural England and the Wildlife Trusts through review of the Biodiversity Net Gain assessment.	Green	High

				<p>It is expected that agreement on a reasonable set of assumptions twill be reached with local planning authorities and other stakeholders.</p> <p>See ES Volume 2, Chapter 6, Section 6.9 [EN010159/APP/6.6] and Volume 3 Appendix 6-11</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

7. West Lindsey District Council Potential Main Issues

Ref	Environmental Aspect	Description of issue	Affected stakeholder(s)	Signposting (to application evidence)	RAG	Likelihood of the issue being resolved during the Examination
WL1	Buried Heritage	Scope and quantum (percentage) of archaeological trial trenching evaluation carried out pre-examination not considered sufficient by the Affected Stakeholder to properly inform the DCO.	Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] , specifically Section 9.3, Section 9.5, Section 9.6 and Section 9.7.	Red	Low

WL2	Buried Heritage	<p>Impact (truncation and displacement) arising from the piling associated with photovoltaic (PV) mounting modules on known and unknown buried heritage assets.</p> <p>It is considered significant by the Affected Stakeholder and not significant by the Applicant.</p>	<p>Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council</p> <p>Historic England</p> <p>West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)</p>	<p>ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] specifically Section 9.5.</p> <p>Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7.</p> <p>7.</p>	Red	Low
WL3	Buried Heritage	<p>Impact on known and unknown buried heritage assets arising during maintenance and decommission below ground works (such as removal of piling and underground cables). For the</p>	<p>Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils</p> <p>Historic England</p> <p>West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council</p>	<p>ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9], specifically Section 9.5.</p> <p>Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3:</p>	Amber	Medium

		<p>Applicant, no likely significant effects during operation and decommissioning are identified as it is not expected that the the decommissioning process would not cause any ground disturbance in excess of the construction phase, and any element associated with the Proposed Development will be removed using methods and extents similar to that of the construction phase.</p> <p>.</p> <p>The Affected Stakeholder objected that as there is no information on the specific ground impacts of how infrastructure and the solar arrays will be</p>	(which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	<p>Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7.</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

		removed or information regarding the impacts of refits throughout the 60 year lifetime of the scheme, there will be a significant impact.				
WL4	Landscape and Visual	The Affected Stakeholder expects that a photomontage from along the A57 will be considered and evidence of scoping in/out to be provided with the ES.	West Lindsey District Council	With reference to ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [EN010159/APP/6.11] , commentary on how photomontages have been scoped in/out has been provided in Appendix 11.5.	Amber	High

8. Newark and Sherwood District Council Potential Main Issues

Ref	Environmental Aspect	Description of issue	Affected stakeholder(s)	Signposting (to application evidence)	RAG	Likelihood of the issue being resolved during the Examination
NSDC1	Buried Heritage	Scope and quantum (percentage) of archaeological trial trenching evaluation carried out pre-examination not considered sufficient by the Affected Stakeholder to properly inform the DCO.	Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9] , specifically Section 9.3, Section 9.5, Section 9.6 and Section 9.7.	Red	Low

NSDC2	Buried Heritage	Impact (truncation and displacement) arising from the piling associated with photovoltaic (PV) mounting modules on known and unknown buried heritage assets. It is considered significant by the Affected Stakeholder and not significant by the Applicant.	Nottinghamshire County Council and Lincolnshire County Council Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council (which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9], specifically Section 9.5. Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7. .	Red	Low
NSDC3	Buried Heritage	Impact on known and unknown buried heritage assets arising during maintenance and decommission below ground works (such as removal of piling and underground cables). For the	Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils Historic England West Lindsey, Newark and Sherwood District Council	ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Buried Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.9], specifically Section 9.5. Impact Assessment in Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Environmental Statement Volume 3, Appendix 9.3:	Amber	Medium

		<p>Applicant, no likely significant effects during operation and decommissioning are identified as it is not expected that the the decommissioning process would not cause any ground disturbance in excess of the construction phase, and any element associated with the Proposed Development will be removed using methods and extents similar to that of the construction phase.</p> <p>The Affected Stakeholder objected that as there is no information on the specific ground impacts of how infrastructure and the solar arrays will be removed or information regarding</p>	(which refers to Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire County Councils advice)	<p>Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment [EN010159/APP/6.21]), specifically Section 7.</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

		the impacts of refits throughout the 60 year lifetime of the scheme, there will be a significant impact.				
NSDC4	Landscape and Visual	Additional viewpoints were requested beyond 2km to justify the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) Study Area. In response, Icen provided photos from eight locations in four nearby settlements beyond 2km that would have theoretical visibility of the Proposed Development as per the ZTVs (Figures 11.3-11.6). AAH welcomed the additional views and commented that the views presented were	AAH consultants, on behalf of Lincolnshire County Council and Newark & Sherwood District Council	With reference to ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [EN010159/APP/6.11] , additional detail has been added to the LVIA methodology to explain how the LVIA Study Area has been defined and commentary on how views beyond 2km have been scoped-out has been provided in Appendix 11.5	Amber	High

		<p>helpful but has asked <i>‘to see locations with more careful selection, for example on the edge of the urban development rather than in the middle of villages/towns.’</i></p> <p>In the same response, AAH also commented that <i>‘the screened ZTV does support the judgement that significant views will be unlikely beyond the 2km barrier.’</i></p>				
NSDC5	Landscape and Visual	The Affected Stakeholder raised concerns about the potential scale and programming of solar panel replacements throughout the project lifespan and how this has been considered in the LVIA.	AAH consultants, on behalf of Lincolnshire County Council and Newark & Sherwood District Council	ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [EN010159/APP/6.11] has considered the maintenance and replacement of above ground infrastructure in the Year 15 assessment scenario, and is based on details such as Indicative Design Life provided in ES Volume 1 ,	Green	High

				Chapter 5: Description of the Proposed Development [EN010159/APP/6.5]		
NSDC6	Landscape and Visual	The Affected Stakeholder raised specific comments on the OLEMP to ensure effective and long-term management of mitigation planting throughout the project lifespan	AAH consultants, on behalf of Lincolnshire County Council and Newark & Sherwood District Council	The Outline Landscape and Environmental Management Plan [EN010159/APP/7.7] has sought to address the specific comments raised.	Green	High

9. Bassetlaw District Council Potential Main Issues

Ref	Environmental Aspect	Description of issue	Affected stakeholder(s)	Signposting (to application evidence)	RAG	Likelihood of the issue being resolved during the Examination
BDC1	Cultural Heritage	Impact on heritage assets in Fledborough and Ragnall	Historic England Bassetlaw Nottinghamshire County Council	Mitigation agreed and integrated into the illustrative landscape masterplan included in the outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (oLEMP) (see Volume 7: Other Documents [EN010159/APP/7.7]) Consultation discussions (see Appendix 10.3 of ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Cultural Heritage [EN010159/APP/6.10])	Green	High

BDC2	Landscape and Visual	<p>The Affected Stakeholder raised questions about different terms within landscape and visual assessment criteria.</p> <p>The Affected Stakeholder raised a question regarding the approach to assessing visual sensitivity including susceptibility of PRow users.</p> <p>The Affected Stakeholder raised a question about the influence of duration and reversibility on judgements about magnitude of change.</p>	Via East Midlands, on behalf of Bassetlaw District Council	<p>With regard to Appendix 11.2 of the ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [EN010159/APP/6.11], the LVIA methodology has been updated to address most questions raised.</p> <p>With regard to the influence of duration and reversibility on the judgements about magnitude of change, regard has been given to the LITGN-2024-01 GLIVA3-N&C, which clarifies <i>‘it is likely that the size/scale of effect will be the most important factor, with geographical extent and duration/reversibility considered as ‘modifiers’.</i></p>	Amber	High
------	----------------------	---	--	---	-------	------



one earth
solar farm